Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics ISSN 1310–5132 Vol. 21, 2015, No. 1, 31–35

A basic logarithmic inequality, and the logarithmic mean

József Sándor

Department of Mathematics, Babeş-Bolyai University Str. Kogalniceanu nr. 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania e-mail: jjsandor@hotmail.com

Abstract: By using the basic logarithmic inequality $\ln x \le x - 1$ we deduce integral inequalities, which particularly imply the inequalities G < L < A for the geometric, logarithmic, resp. arithmetic means.

Keywords: Logaritmic function, Logarithmic mean, Means and their inequalities. **AMS Classification:** 26D15, 26D99.

1 Introduction

Let a, b > 0. The logarithmic mean L = L(a, b) of a and b is defined by

$$L = L(a,b) = \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a} \text{ for } a \neq b \text{ and } L(a,a) = a.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Let $G = G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ and $A = A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$ denote the classical geometric, resp. logarithmic means of a and b.

One of the most important inequalities for the logarithmic mean (besides e.g. a < L(a, b) < b for a < b) is the following:

$$G < L < A \text{ for } a \neq b \tag{2}$$

The left side of (2) was discovered by B. C. Carlson in 1966 ([1] see [2]), while the right side in 1957 by B. Ostle and H. L. Terwilliger [3].

We note that relation (2) has applications in many subject of pure or applied mathematics and physics including e.g. electrostatics, probability and statistics, etc. (see e.g. [4, 5]).

The following basic logarithmic inequality is well-known:

Theorem 1.

$$\ln x \le x - 1 \text{ for all } x > 0. \tag{3}$$

There is equality only for x = 1*.*

Inequality (3) may be proved e.g. by considering the auxiliary function

$$f(x) = x - \ln x - 1,$$

and it is easy to show that x = 1 is a global minimum to f, so

$$f(x) \ge f(1) = 0.$$

Another proof is based on the Taylor expansion of the exponential function, yielding $e^t = 1 + t + \frac{t^2}{2} \cdot e^{\theta}$, where $\theta \in (0, t)$. Put t = x - 1, and (3) follows.

The continuous arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means of positive, integrable function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are defined by

$$A_f = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx, \quad G_f = e^{\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \ln f(x) dx}$$

and

$$H_f = \frac{b-a}{\int_a^b dx/f(x)}$$

where a < b are real numbers.

By using (3) we will prove the following classical fact:

Theorem 2.

$$H_f \le G_f \le A_f \tag{4}$$

Then, by applying (4) for certain particular functions, we will deduce (2). In fact, (2) will be obtained in a stronger form. The main idea of this note is the use of very simple inequality (3) in the theory of means.

2 The proofs

Proof of Theorem 2. Put

$$x = \frac{(b-a)f(t)}{\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt}$$

in (3), and integrate on $t \in [a, b]$ the obtained inequality. One gets

$$\int_{a}^{b} \ln f(t) dt - \left(\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right) \right) (b-a) \le \frac{(b-a) \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt}{\int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt} - (b-a) = 0$$

This gives the right side of (4).

Apply now this inequality to $\frac{1}{f}$ in place of f. As

$$\ln\frac{1}{f(t)} = -\ln f(t),$$

we immediately obtain the left side of (4).

Corollary 1. If f is as above, then

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt\right)\left(\int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{f(t)}dt\right) \ge (b-a)^{2}.$$
(5)

This follows by $H_f \leq A_f$ in (4).

Remark 1. Let f be continuous in [a, b]. The above proof shows that there is equality e.g. in right side of (4) if

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt.$$
(6)

By the first mean value theorem of integrals, there exists $c \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}f(t)dt = f(c).$$

Since by (6) one has f(t) = f(c) for all $t \in [a, b]$, f is a constant function. When f is integrable, as

$$\int_{a}^{b} \ln \left[(b-a) \frac{f(t)}{\int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt} \right] dt = 0,$$

as for $g(t) = \ln \frac{(b-a)f(t)}{\int_a^b f(t)dt} > 0$ one has

$$\int_{a}^{b} g(t)dt = 0,$$

it follows by a known result that g(t) = 0 almost everywhere (a.e.). Therefore

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt$$

a.e., thus f is a constant a.e.

Remark 2. If f is continuous, it follows in the same manner, that in the left side of (4) there is equality only for f = constant. The same is true for inequality (5).

Proof of (2). Apply $G_f \leq A_f$ to $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Remark that

$$\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}\ln x dx = \ln I(a,b),$$

where a < I(a, b) < b.

This mean is known in the literature as "identric mean" (see e.g. [4]). As $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ is not constant, we get by

L < I

$$A_f = \frac{1}{L(a,b)}, \quad G_f = \frac{1}{I(a,b)},$$

that

Applying the same inequality $G_f \leq A_f$ to f(x) = x one obtains

$$I < A$$
 (8)

(7)

Remark 3. Inequalities (7) and (8) can be deduced at once by applying all relations of (4) to f(x) = x. Apply now (5) to $f(t) = e^t$. After elementary computations, we get

$$\frac{e^b - e^a}{b - a} > e^{\frac{a+b}{2}} \tag{9}$$

As f(t) > 0 for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, inequality (9) holds true for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, b > a. Replace now $b := \ln b, a := \ln a$, where now the new values of a and b are > 0. One gets from (9):

$$L > G \tag{10}$$

By taking into account of (7) - (10), we can write:

$$G < L < I < A,\tag{11}$$

i.e. (2) is proved (in improved form on the right side).

Remark 4. Inequality (4) (thus, relation (10)) follows also by $G_f \leq A_f$ applied to $f(t) = e^t$.

Remark 5. The right side of (2) follows also from (5) by the application f(t) = t. As

$$\int_{a}^{b} t dt = \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2} \text{ and } \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{t} dt = (\ln b - \ln a),$$

the relation follows.

Remark 6. Clearly, in the same manner as (4), the discrete inequality of means can be proved, by letting $x = \frac{nx_i}{x_1 + \ldots + x_n} (x_1, \ldots, x_n > 0).$

References

- [1] Carlson, B. C. (1966) Some inequalities for hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math.* Soc., 17, 32–39
- [2] Carlson, B. C. (1972) The logarithmic mean, Amer. Math. Monthly, 79, 615–618.
- [3] Ostle, B., & Terwilliger, H. L. (1957) A comparison of two means, *Proc. Montana Acad. Sci.*, 17, 69–70.
- [4] Sándor, J. (1990) On the identric and logarithmic means, Aeq. Math., 40, 261–270.
- [5] Lorenzen, G. (1994) Why means in two arguments are special, *Elem. Math.*, 49, 32–37.